

John Park : -


Fall 2023: - ()
 No. of responses = 68 responded / enrolled (% participated)



Overall indicators


Global Index

Average Rating (out of 5 point scale)



Survey Results

1. STUDENT EVALUATION OF INSTRUCTION




1.9) **9.** The instructor has returned assigned work within a reasonable time.



n=68
av.=4.66

1.10) **10.** The instructor has been available during scheduled office hours.



n=67
av.=4.51

1.11) **11.** The instructor has helped to motivate my interest and appreciation for the subject.



n=67
av.=3.87

1.12) **12.** The course and instructor have given me new knowledge, understanding, or skills.



n=68
av.=4.21

John Park : -

Spring 2023 : - ()


No. of responses = 87 responded / enrolled (% participated)



Overall indicators


Global Index

Average Rating (out of 5 point scale)




Survey Results

1. STUDENT EVALUATION OF INSTRUCTION




1.9) **9.** The instructor has returned assigned work within a reasonable time.




n=87
av.=4.46

1.10) **10.** The instructor has been available during scheduled office hours.




n=86
av.=4.28

1.11) **11.** The instructor has helped to motivate my interest and appreciation for the subject.



n=86
av.=3.83

1.12) **12.** The course and instructor have given me new knowledge, understanding, or skills.



n=87
av.=4.07

John Park : -

Fall 2022 : - ()
 No. of responses = 54 responded / enrolled (% participated)



Overall indicators

Global Index

Average Rating (out of 5 point scale)




Survey Results

1. STUDENT EVALUATION OF INSTRUCTION


1.1)	1. Course objectives and requirements were clearly indicated at the beginning of the course.	Disagree	1.9%	3.7%	5.6%	24.1%	64.8%	Agree	n=54	av.=4.46
1.2)	2. Course content was well structured and readily accessible.	Disagree	1.9%	5.6%	5.6%	20.4%	66.7%	Agree	n=54	av.=4.44
1.3)	3. Readings, learning modules, and course activities helped me to achieve the course objectives.	Disagree	3.7%	1.9%	9.3%	18.5%	66.7%	Agree	n=54	av.=4.43
1.4)	4. Course content (including lecture podcasts, slide presentations, etc.) was well organized, effectively presented, and useful.	Disagree	3.7%	7.4%	1.9%	22.2%	64.8%	Agree	n=54	av.=4.37
1.5)	5. The instructor helped students better understand core concepts, theories, and implications.	Disagree	3.7%	5.6%	9.3%	22.2%	59.3%	Agree	n=54	av.=4.28
1.6)	6. The instructor encouraged student/instructor interaction and communicated promptly and effectively (e.g.: via email, chat, or other communication modes used).	Disagree	0%	3.8%	15.1%	11.3%	69.8%	Agree	n=53	av.=4.47
1.7)	7. The instructor made good use of examples and illustrations.	Disagree	3.7%	3.7%	14.8%	16.7%	61.1%	Agree	n=54	av.=4.28
1.8)	8. The instructor has shown interest and enthusiasm for student learning.	Disagree	0%	5.6%	9.3%	16.7%	68.5%	Agree	n=54	av.=4.48

1.9) **9.** The instructor has returned assigned work within a reasonable time.




n=54
av.=4.59

1.10) **10.** The instructor has been available during scheduled office hours.



n=54
av.=4.59

1.11) **11.** The instructor has helped to motivate my interest and appreciation for the subject.



n=54
av.=4.2

1.12) **12.** The course and instructor have given me new knowledge, understanding, or skills.



n=51
av.=4.41

John Park : -

Spring 2022 : - ()


No. of responses = 61 responded / enrolled (% participated)




Overall indicators

Global Index

Average Rating (out of 5 point scale)



av.=4.11




av.=4.11

Survey Results


1. STUDENT EVALUATION OF INSTRUCTION

1.1) 1. Course objectives and requirements were clearly indicated at the beginning of the course.




n=61
av.=4.36

1.2) 2. Course content was well structured and readily accessible.




n=61
av.=4.34

1.3) 3. Readings, learning modules, and course activities helped me to achieve the course objectives.




n=61
av.=4.23

1.4) 4. Course content (including lecture podcasts, slide presentations, etc.) was well organized, effectively presented, and useful.




n=61
av.=4.28

1.5) 5. The instructor helped students better understand core concepts, theories, and implications.




n=61
av.=3.97

1.6) 6. The instructor encouraged student/instructor interaction and communicated promptly and effectively (e.g.: via email, chat, or other communication modes used).




n=61
av.=3.84

1.7) 7. The instructor made good use of examples and illustrations.



n=61
av.=4.08

1.8) 8. The instructor has shown interest and enthusiasm for student learning.




n=61
av.=3.85

1.9) **9.** The instructor has returned assigned work within a reasonable time.




n=61
av.=4.38

1.10) **10.** The instructor has been available during scheduled office hours.



n=60
av.=4.25

1.11) **11.** The instructor has helped to motivate my interest and appreciation for the subject.



n=61
av.=3.7

1.12) **12.** The course and instructor have given me new knowledge, understanding, or skills.



n=61
av.=4.08

John Park : -


Fall 2021 : - ()
 No. of responses = 94 responded / enrolled (% participated)



Overall indicators

Global Index

Average Rating (out of 5 point scale)




Survey Results

1. STUDENT EVALUATION OF INSTRUCTION




1.9) **9.** The instructor has returned assigned work within a reasonable time.




n=94
av.=4.7

1.10) **10.** The instructor has been available during scheduled office hours.




n=94
av.=4.61

1.11) **11.** The instructor has helped to motivate my interest and appreciation for the subject.



n=94
av.=4.02

1.12) **12.** The course and instructor have given me new knowledge, understanding, or skills.



n=94
av.=4.44